Coupling COSMO-CLM with NEMO for the North and Baltic seas

Trang Van Pham¹, J. Brauch², B. Früh², B. Ahrens³

¹ LOEWE - Biodiversity and Climate Research Center, Frankfurt am Main
 ²Deutscher Wetterdienst, Offenbach am Main
 ³Institute for Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, Goethe University Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main





Deutscher Wetterdienst Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand



7th Study Conference on BALTEX , 13.06.2013

Content

- Motivation
- Atmospheric model COSMO-CLM
- Ocean/Ice model NEMO-LIM3
- Coupled system: COSMO-CLM and NEMO-BALTIX
- Evaluation data
- Results
- Summary

Motivation

Study the impact of the North and Baltic seas on the climate of Central Europe:

- Which area is more affected by the marginal seas?
- How far the ocean influence goes?



Coupling atmospheric and ocean/ice models



Atmospheric model COSMO-CLM

- CORDEX-EU domain:
 - Covers Europe and North Africa, Atlantic and Mediterranean Seas
 - Resolution 0.44 degree
 - Initial and lateral boundary condition: ERA-INTERIM reanalysis
 - Simulation period
 01.01.1979 31.12.2010
 - Lower boundary condition: ERA-INTERIM re-analysis (in stand-alone mode) and NEMO-BALTIX (in coupled mode)
 - 122x119 grid cells; 40 layers



Ocean/Ice model NEMO-LIM3

đ

- NEMO (Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean) has sea ice model - NEMO-LIM3
- NEMO-BALTIX:
 - Based on NEMO v3.3, courtesy of Sweden's Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI)
 - Adapted to the North- and Baltic Sea region
 - Resolution 2 minutes
 - 619 x 523 grid points and 56 levels
 - Open boundaries are prescribed for the Atlantic Ocean with Levitus climatology
 - River runoff:
 - around 400 river inflows, evenly distributed along coast line
 - daily values from E-HYPE run forced by ERA-INTERIM



Report: Evaluation of the SMHI coupled atmosphere-ice-ocean model RCA4-NEMO, C. Dieterich et al., 2013

Coupled system: COSMO-CLM and NEMO-BALTIX



Ice treatment in COSMO-CLM

- Stand-alone COSMO-CLM: no sub-grid ice, no ice mask On water grid points:
 - Surface $T^0 < -1.7^{\circ}C$: Ice surface \rightarrow roughness L = 0.001m
 - Surface $T^0 >= -1.7^0C$: Open water surface \rightarrow Charnock formula of roughness L:

roughness $L = a_0 u_*^2/g$

a₀ = 0.0123 Charnock constant u_{*} friction velocity (m/s)

 $g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$ acceleration of gravity

 Coupled COSMO-CLM and NEMO: sub-grid ice, ice mask received from NEMO

On water grid points:

- Fraction of water = 1 fraction of ice
- Averaged roughness L = fr_ice x roughness_ice + fr_water x roughness_water

Evaluation data

- E-OBS v7.0: T_2M, TMIN_2M, TMAX_2M, TOT_PREC, PMSL
- Evaluating:
 - yearly and seasonal mean differences of uncoupled and coupled runs compared with EOBS data
 - Yearly and seasonal mean differences between uncoupled and coupled runs
- Results shown for year 1981

CCLM – EOBS T_2M



5 -4 -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 5

COUPLE-CCLM T_2M



Ice cover during the previous winter DJF

COUPLE-CCLM T_2M



CCLM – EOBS TOT_PREC



COUPLE-CCLM TOT_PREC



COUPLE-CCLM PMSL



Summary

- T_2M has cold bias especially in Center Europe and in spring months. Coupled run is slightly colder than the uncoupled run, most pronounced in Center Europe (-1.5 degree)
- The difference in precipitation is small. Not clear pattern but the coupled run tends to be drier near the coast and wetter inland
- Very small change in large scale circulation. Marginal seas do not have large impact on large scale event

Thanks for your attention



COUPLE-CCLM V_10M



Current research at German Weather Service



Current work:

- Coupled COSMO-CLM and NEMO/LIM3 for the North and Baltic seas via OASIS3

- Coupled COSMO-CLM and NEMO for the Mediterranean sea via OASIS3

On-going work:

-Unified interface COSMO-CLM and OASIS-MCT (fully parallized)

Coupled atmosphere and ocean/sea-ice

North and Baltic seas